Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Montana

United States of America)
v. Jose Manuel Villalobos Medina	Case No: USM No:
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	USM No: Defendant's Attorney
	N FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION 8 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ✓ the defendant ☐ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impri	ited States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ato account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
the last judgment issued) of mo	s previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in onths is reduced to e Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)
B, subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to the United States Senter Part B, subpart 1 provides a two-level offense level points under Chapter Four and whose instant offense did not & B, Sub. 1 Amendment 821 "Reasons for Amendment" (I term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and the amended guideline range." USSG §1B1.10(b)(2)(A). Here, Defendant's prior convictions resulted in a total Defendant is ineligible for a reduction because his original	croactive application to the criminal history rules in Parts A and noting Guidelines. (See Doc. 165.) Defendant is ineligible. Il decrease for offenders who did not receive any criminal history of involve specific aggravating factors. See USSG Ret. App. A Nov. 1, 2023). "[T]he court shall not reduce the defendant's is policy statement to a term that is less than the minimum of the otal criminal history score of zero. (PSR Add.) However, sentence of 84 months falls below the amended guideline range ole for a sentence reduction under the retroactive application of
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgmen	nt datedshall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Q 101
Order Date: 07/18/2024	Dian Volui
	Judge's signature
Effective Date:	
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title